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## Mixed-Integer Programs with Bilinear Products

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min \\
& \text { s.t. } \\
& \text { } A x-b \leq 0 \\
&  \tag{*}\\
& g(x) \leq 0 \\
& \quad \pm\left(x_{i} x_{j}-w_{i j}\right) \leq 0 \forall i, j \in P \\
& \quad x_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in I
\end{align*}
$$

where
$c, b$ - constant vectors,
$x$ - variable vector, $A-m \times n$ matrix,
$g$ - nonlinear vector function, $(*)$ - bilinear product relations.

## Bilinear Products

We are interested in constraints

$$
\pm\left(x_{i} x_{j}-w_{i j}\right) \leq 0
$$

These constraints are nonlinear and nonconvex.

Applications: pooling, packing, wastewater treatment, power systems optimisation, portfolio optimisation, etc.


## McCormick Envelopes

Bilinear products of bounded variables are linearized by McCormick envelopes:

$$
x y=w
$$

is replaced by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
w \geq x^{\prime} y+x y^{\prime}-x^{\prime} y^{\prime} \\
w \geq x^{u} y+x y^{\prime}-x^{\prime} y^{u} \\
w \leq x^{\prime} y+x y^{u}-x^{\prime} y^{u} \\
w \leq x^{u} y+x y^{\prime}-x^{u} y^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$
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## RLT Cuts

McCormick relaxation describes the convex hull, but the LP solution often violates the bilinear constraints!

General idea: use other constraints too.
RLT (Reformulation Linearization Technique): derive cuts from the product relation + variable bound + a linear constraint:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1} x_{2}=w_{12} \\
a_{1} x_{1}+a^{r} \cdot x^{r}=b \\
x_{2} \in\left[x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{u}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

( $a^{r}, x^{r}$ - coefficient and variable vectors representing the remaining part of the linear constraint.)

## RLT Cuts - Construction

Multiply the constraint with $\left(x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ or $\left(x_{2}^{\mu}-x_{2}\right)$ :

$$
a_{1} x_{1}\left(x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right)+a^{r} x^{r}\left(x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right)=b\left(x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

$\leftarrow$ Reformulation

Linearize the nonlinear terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} w_{12}-a_{1} x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}+L^{\text {under }}\left(a^{r} x^{r} x_{2}\right)-a^{r} x^{r} x_{2}^{\prime} \leq b\left(x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right), \quad \leftarrow \text { Linearization } \\
& a_{1} w_{12}-a_{1} x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}+L^{\text {over }}\left(a^{r} x^{r} x_{2}\right)-a^{r} x^{r} x_{2}^{\prime} \geq b\left(x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Relaxations of $x_{i} x_{2}$, if product $x_{i} x_{2}=w_{i 2}$ does not exist:

- McCormick for bilinear products
- Tangent/secant for quadratic terms


## Contributions

RLT cuts:

+ Can provide strong dual bounds
- A large number of cuts is generated, difficult to select which cuts to apply; even separation itself can be expensive

In this work we develop:

- Detection of hidden products in MILPs
- Separation algorithm


## Hidden Bilinear Products

Bilinear relations can be modeled by linear constraints with at least one binary variable:

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text { Product } & \text { Implied relation } & \text { Big-M constraint } \\
w \geq x y & \begin{array}{c}
x=0 \Rightarrow w \geq 0, \\
x=1 \Rightarrow w \geq y .
\end{array} & w-y+\left(w^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right) x \geq w^{\prime}-y^{u} . \\
w \leq x y & \begin{array}{l}
x=0 \\
x=0 \Rightarrow w \leq 0, \\
x=1 \Rightarrow w \leq y .
\end{array} & w-y+\left(w^{u}-y^{\prime}\right) x \leq w^{u}-y^{\prime} .
\end{array}
$$

( $x$ binary)

## Hidden Products - General Form

Two constraints:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} w+b_{1} x+c_{1} y \leq d_{1}, \\
& a_{2} w+b_{2} x+c_{2} y \leq d_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
x \in\{0,1\}, \quad a_{1} c_{2}-a_{2} c_{1} \neq 0 \\
b_{1}>0, b_{2} \leq 0, a_{1} a_{2} \neq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

imply the following product relation:

$$
x y \geq / \leq \frac{a_{1} a_{2} w+\left(a_{2} b_{1}-a_{2} d_{1}+a_{1} d_{2}\right) x+a_{1} c_{2} y-a_{1} d_{2}}{a_{1} c_{2}-a_{2} c_{1}}
$$

(Derived by writing $x y \geq 1 \leq A w+B x+C y+D$ for unknown $A, B, C, D$ and enforcing the equivalence to the linear inequalities)

## Relation Types

For product detection, we use several types of relations:

|  | Linear relation between 2 variables ac- <br> Implied relation <br> tivated by a binary variable: <br> $x=f \Rightarrow \bar{a} w+\bar{c} y \leq \bar{d} ;$ | Hashtable with <br> 3 sorted vari- <br> ables as keys |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Implied bound | Variable bound activated by a binary <br> variable: <br> $x=f \Rightarrow \bar{a} w \leq \bar{d} ;$ | Sorted array <br> for each vari- <br> able |
| Clique | If binary variables $x_{i}, i \in C$ and ! $x_{i}$, <br> $i \in C^{r}$ are in a clique, then: $\sum_{i \in C} x_{i}+$ | Clique table |
|  | $\sum_{i \in C^{r}}\left(1-x_{i}\right) \leq 1$ |  |

Also linear constraints with 2 or 3 nonzeros and global variable bounds.
( $f$ - binary constant)

## Detecting Hidden Products

- Find implied relations $x=f \Rightarrow \bar{a}_{1} w+\bar{c}_{1} y \leq \bar{d}_{1}$ among constraints with 3 nonzeroes and at least one binary variable.
- For each implied relation, look for the second relation:
- It must be implied by $x=!f$ and contain $w$ or $w$ and $y$

Product relations can also be described without a size 3 constraint:

- For each implied bound $x=f \Rightarrow w \leq \bar{d}_{1}$, look for the second relation:
- unconditional relation of $w$ and $y$ (implied bound, clique, linear constraint with 2 nonzeroes).

Variable order matters: depending on the choice of $w, x$ and $y$, we get different products.

## Separation of RLT Cuts

When replacing $a_{1} x_{1} x_{2}+a^{r} \cdot x^{r} x_{2} \leq b x_{2}$ with

$$
a_{1} w_{12}+L^{\text {under }}\left(a^{r} \cdot x^{r} x_{2}\right) \leq b x_{2},
$$

the cut can be violated if $a_{1} x_{1} x_{2}<a_{1} w_{12}$.

## Separation Algorithm

- For each variable $x_{j}$ that appears in products:
- For each violated product relation with $x_{i} x_{j}$, mark constraints of $x_{i}$ where $a_{i}$ has the right sign.
- The marks tell us which combinations of bound factors and sides to use for cut generation.
- For each marked row:
* Construct cuts with suitable sides and multipliers;
* If a cut is violated, add it to the cut pool.

If several linearisations are available: use the most violated.
For example:

$$
x_{1} \leq 0, x_{1} x_{2} \leq w, x_{2} \in[1,2]
$$

Reformulations are: $x_{1}\left(x_{2}-1\right) \leq 0, x_{1}\left(2-x_{2}\right) \leq 0$
If at LP solution $x_{1}^{*} x_{2}^{*}>w^{*}$, use only the second reformulation.

## Term Linearisation

- Replace $x_{i} x_{j}$ by:
- linearisation $L\left(w_{i j}, x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$, if it exists in the right direction;
- clique relationship if $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ are binary and clique exists:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-x_{i}+x_{j} \leq 1 & \Rightarrow x_{i} x_{j}=0, \\
-x_{i}+\left(1-x_{j}\right) \leq 1 & \Rightarrow x_{i} x_{j}=x_{i}, \\
-\left(1-x_{i}\right)+x_{j} \leq 1 & \Rightarrow x_{i} x_{j}=x_{j}, \\
-\left(1-x_{i}\right)+\left(1-x_{j}\right) \leq 1 & \Rightarrow x_{i} x_{j}=x_{i}+x_{j}-1 ;
\end{array}
$$

- McCormick envelopes otherwise.
- Replace $x_{j}^{2}$ by:
- $x_{j}$ if $x_{j}$ is binary
- Tangent for underestimation and secant for overestimation otherwise


## Projection

McCormick is tight if at least one of the variables is at bound $\Rightarrow$ replacing such a product does not add to the violation.

Construct a smaller system by fixing all variables that are at bound:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i} \leq b \text { becomes } \sum_{i \in!B} a_{i} x_{i} \leq b-\sum_{i \in B} a_{i} x_{i}^{*}
$$

$!B$ - indices of variables not at bound, $B$ - indices of variables at bound.

Check violation for projected cuts first.

However...
if McCormick constraints are dynamically added as cuts, the above does not hold $\Rightarrow$ some violated cuts might be ignored.

## Computational Results - Setup

- Using a development version of SCIP;
- Linear solver SoPlex;
- Time limit $1 / 2$ hour.
- Testsets: subsets of MINLPLib and MIPLIB2017 where (either explicit or implicit) bilinear products exist.


## Computational Results - Implicit Products

Settings:

- Off: RLT cuts are disabled
- Existing: RLT cuts are added only for products that exist explicitly in the problem
- Hidden: RLT cuts are added for both explicit and implicit products

Linear instances:

| Setting | Solved | T | N | T_100 | N_100 | T_1000 | N_1000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Off | 174 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 2 . 3}$ | 10022 | 1104.9 | 24052 |
| Hidden | $\mathbf{1 7 7}$ | 48.76 | 1392 | 414.2 | $\mathbf{9 4 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 7 0}$ |

Nonlinear instances:

| Setting | Solved | T | N |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Off | 869 | 51.38 | 2698 |
| Existing | 890 | $\mathbf{4 7 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 3 1}$ |
| Hidden | $\mathbf{8 9 2}$ | 48.82 | 2396 |

## Computational Results - Separation Algorithm

## Settings:

- RLT cuts for both existing and hidden products are enabled
- SimpleSepa: a straightforward separation algorithm is used
- NewSepa: the new separation algorithm is used

Linear instances:

| Setting | Solved | T | N |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SimpleSepa | 154 | 94.57 | $\mathbf{1 1 8 0}$ |
| NewSepa | $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 8 2}$ | 1448 |

Nonlinear instances:

| Setting | Solved | T | N |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SimpleSepa | $\mathbf{9 0 2}$ | 45.61 | 2188 |
| NewSepa | 898 | 46.23 | 2373 |

## Computational Results - Projection

Settings:

- RLT cuts for both existing and hidden products are enabled
- NoProject: the projected LP is not used
- Project: the projected LP is used

Linear instances:

| Setting | Solved | T | N |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NoProject | 173 | 49.69 | 1463 |
| Project | $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2 4}$ |

Nonlinear instances:

| Setting | Solved | T | N |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NoProject | 886 | $\mathbf{4 8 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 7 4}$ |
| Project | $\mathbf{8 8 8}$ | 48.43 | 2424 |

## Summary

- Implicit product relations are detected by analysing MILP constraints.
- Use row marking to speed up separation.
- Use a projected LP to speed up separation and filter out cuts that are less promising.
- RLT cuts improve performance for difficult MILP instances; the separation algorithm is crucial.
- RLT cuts help on MINLP instances; however, adding cuts derived from implicit products as well as utilising the new separation algorithm appears to be slightly detrimental to performance.

